

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION 2 Arizona Corporation Commission **COMMISSIONERS** DOCKETED 3 BOB STUMP - Chairman **GARY PIERCE** JUL 3 0 2014 4 **BRENDA BURNS BOB BURNS DOCKETED BY** 5 SUSAN BITTER SMITH 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. T-20879A-13-0083 7 TIME WARNER CABLE BUSINESS LLC FOR 74587 APPROVAL OF A CERTIFICATE OF DECISION NO. CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE FACILITIES-BASED LONG DISTANCE AND PRIVATE LINE SERVICES TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES IN 10 ARIZONA. OPINION AND ORDER 11 DATE OF HEARING: April 30, 2014 12 PLACE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yvette B. Kinsey 14 APPEARANCES: Ms. Joan S. Burke, LAW OFFICE OF JOAN S. BURKE, on behalf of the Applicant; and 15 Ms. Bridget Humphrey, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, 16 on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission. 17 BY THE COMMISSION: 18 On March 27, 2013, Time Warner Cable Business LLC d/b/a Tim Warner Cable ("TWCB" or 19 "Company") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for 20 approval of a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide facilities-based long 21 distance and private line telecommunication services in Arizona. TWCB's application also requests 22 a determination that its proposed services are competitive in Arizona. 23 On November 22, 2013, the Company filed its proposed tariff for the services it seeks to 24 provide in Arizona. 25 On January 24, 2014, the Company filed a request for waiver of A.A.C. R14-2-1115(C)(3), 26

27

28

LLC ("Cox") in Commission Decision No. 73579 (November 21, 2012).

stating that its reasons for requesting the waiver are identical to those given by Cox Arizona Telecom,

On February 5, 2014, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed a Staff Report recommending approval of TWCB's application, subject to certain conditions.

On April 30, 2014, a full public hearing was held as scheduled before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") of the Commission. The Company and Staff appeared through counsel and presented testimony and evidence. No members of the public appeared to provide public comment on the application. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Company was directed to file verification, from an authorized representative of TWCB, that TWCB is requesting waiver of A.A.C. R14-2-1115(C).

On May 13, 2014, TWCB filed a Notice of Filing Verification.

Upon receipt of the post-hearing document, the matter was taken under advisement pending submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

* * * * * * * * *

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. TWCB is a member-managed limited liability company organized under the laws of Delaware and authorized to transact business in Arizona.
 - 2. TWCB was formed on January 10, 2013.¹
- 3. Time Warner Cable Information Services Holdco, LLC ("TWCIS Holdco") owns 100 percent of the member interest of TWCB. TWCIS Holdco is a wholly owned subsidiary of Time Warner Cable, Inc., ("TWCI") a publicly traded company.
 - 4. TWCI's principal offices are located in New York, New York.
- 5. On March 27, 2013, TWCB filed an application with the Commission to provide facilities-based long distance and private line telecommunication services in Arizona.
 - 6. Notice of TWCB's application was given in accordance with the law.

28 Exhibit A-1 at B-1.

DECISION NO. 74587

1	7. Staff	recommends approval of the	ne TWCB's application for a CC	C&N to provide	
2	intrastate telecommunications services subject to the following conditions:				
3					
4	(a)	TWCB comply with all C relevant to the provision of i	ommission Rules, Orders and other ntrastate telecommunications serving	ner requirements	
5	(b)	TWCB abide by the qualit Commission for Qwest C Docket No. T-01051B-13-0	y of service standards that were sorporation d/b/a CenturyLink Q	approved by the C ("Qwest") in	
7	(c)		ion immediately upon changes to	TWCB's name,	
8	(1)	-			
9	(d)	TWCB cooperate with Com customer complaints;	mission investigations including, b	out not limited to	
10 11	(e)	for all competitive services	iling are for competitive services. are not set according to rate of r	eturn regulation.	
12		book value or fair value ra	from the Company indicating that the base, at the end of the first twiff has reviewed the rates to be ch	velve months of	
13		and believes they are just	and reasonable as they are comfering service in Arizona and co	parable to other	
۱4		rates TWCB charges in oth	er jurisdictions. The rate to be ultravily influenced by the market.	timately charged	
15		Staff considered the fair Company, the fair value info	value rate base information su ormation provided was not given su	bmitted by the	
16		in this analysis;			
17	(f)	The Commission authorize the marginal cost of providing	TWCB to discount its rates and seng the services; and	ervice charges to	
18	(g)	That the requested waiver of	FA.A.C. R14-2-1115(C)(3) be app	proved subject to	
19	(3)	the condition, also contained that the Applicants provide	l in Decision No. 73579 regarding their Individual Case Basis ("IC	the Cox waiver,	
20		Staff, at any time, upon requ	est.		
21	8. Staff furth	her recommends that TWCB of	omply with the following items an	d if TWCB fails	
22	to do so, that the Cor	npany's CC&N be null and vo	oid after due process:		
23		TWOD 1 11 1 1			
24	a.	within 365 days from the d	ning tariffs pages for each service atte of an Order in this matter or	30 days prior to	
25			er comes first. The tariffs submitted ate that the Company does not confirm its customers;		
26	1.			. (*1* 1	
27	b.	begins serving customers; an	ommission through a compliance ad	tiling when it	
28					
		3	DECISION NO	74587	

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

TWCB shall abide by the Commission-adopted rules that address Universal c. R14-2-1204(A) Arizona. A.AC. indicates telecommunications service providers that interconnect into the public switched network shall provide funding for the Arizona Universal Service Fund ("AUSF"). TWCB will make the necessary monthly payments required by A.A.C. R14-2-1204(B).

Technical Capability

- 9. According to TWCB's application, its parent company, TWCI currently provides telecommunication services to over 15 million residential and commercial customers in the United States.2
- TWCB intends to offer only non-voice services in Arizona.³ The Company is 10. authorized to provide similar services in 25 states/jurisdictions.⁴ At the time the hearing, TWCB had pending applications in three states requesting authority to provide its same proposed services in Arizona.5
- TWCB proposes to offer commercial customers point-to-point, point-to-multipoint 11. and multipoint-to multipoint non-voice dedicated connection between one or more customerdesignated locations, using high capacity transmission.⁶
 - TWCB will not offer its proposed services to residential customers in Arizona.⁷ 12.
- 13. Time Warner Cable Information Services (Arizona), LLC ("TWCISAZ") is an affiliate of TWCB and is authorized to provide resold and facilities-based local and resold and facilities-based long distance telecommunications services in Arizona.⁸ According to the Company's witness, the business functions between TWCB and TWCISAZ are different in that TWCISAZ supports the provision of voice services to residential and business customers, using interconnection agreements executed with other carriers.9

² Exhibit A-1 at A-15.

Exhibit A-1 at A-15.

⁴ Exhibit A-1 at A-19, Tr. at 7. ⁵ Tr. at 8.

⁶ Exhibit A-1 at Attachment B.

⁷ Tr. at 10. 8 Exhibit A-1 at Attachment C. See also Decision No. 71169 (June 30, 2009). In Docket No. T-20449A-14-0147 et. al, TWCISAZ, TWCB, and Comcast filed a joint application requesting either a waiver or expedited consideration of the Commission's Affiliated Interest Rules A.A.C. R14-2-801, et sea. The application states that the entities have entered into a merger whereby Comcast will acquire 100 percent of TWCI's equity in exchange for Comcast Class A shares. The Commission has not issued a Decision on the application. Tr. at 12.

¹⁰ Tr. at 11.

Exhibit A-1 at Attachment D.

13 Exhibit A-1 at B-3.

Exhibit S-1 at 3-4.
 Exhibit S-1 at 3.

14. Because TWCB has an affiliate operating in Arizona it shall comply with A.A.C. R14-2-804 regarding transactions between public utilities and its affiliates.

15. In Arizona, customer complaints will be handled through TWCB's national centralized customer service office and will be available to customers on a 24/7 basis.¹⁰

16. Staff believes TWCB has the technical capabilities to provide its proposed services in Arizona.

Financial Capabilities

17. TWCB provided audited financial statements for TWCI, its parent company, for the year ending December 31, 2012. TWCI reported Total Assets of over \$49.8 billion; Total Equity of over \$7.2 billion; and Net Income of \$2.2 billion. For the year ending December 31, 2011, TWCI reported Total Assets of over \$48.3 billion; Total Equity of over \$7.5 billion; and Net Income of \$1.7 billion. December 31, 2011, TWCI reported Total Assets of over \$48.3 billion; Total Equity of over \$7.5 billion; and Net Income of \$1.7 billion.

18. TWCB states it will rely on the financial resources of its parent company to provided its proposed services in Arizona.¹³

Rates and Charges

19. Staff believes TWCB's rates will be heavily influenced by the market. Staff states that TWCB will have to compete with other incumbent local interexchange carriers ("IXCs"), incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILECs") and competitive local exchange carriers ("CLEC") to provide its long distance and private line services in Arizona. Staff also states that the market TWCB seeks to enter is currently served by wireless carriers and Voice over Internet Protocol providers ("VoIP"). Based on the competitive environment that TWCB will be operating in, Staff believes the Company will not be able to exert any market power and that the competitive process should result in just and reasonable rates. 15

110NLNO 74587

DECISION NO.

4

6

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

¹⁶ Exhibit A-1, Attachment B at 2.15. ¹⁷ Post hearing Exhibit filed May 13, 2014.

- 20. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1109, the rates charged for each service TWCB proposes to provide may not be less than the Company's total service long-run incremental cost of providing that service.
- 21. Staff states that although it considered the Company's proposed fair value rate base of \$34,251, Staff did not give it much weight in its analysis because TWCB's rates in Arizona will be heavily influenced by the market.
- 22. TWCB's proposed tariff states that the Company may offer rates using ICB contracts for the provision of its private line services. 16 All public service corporation shall comply with the provisions set forth in A.R.S. §40-334(A) and A.A.C. R14-2-1115. TWCB has requested a waiver from the provisions of A.A.C. R14-2-1115(C) based on the same reasons articulated by Cox in Decision No. 73579.¹⁷
- 23. A.R.S. §40-334(A) states that public service corporations "shall not, as to rates, charges, service, facilities or in any respect, of make or grant any preference or advantage to any person or subject any person to any prejudice or disadvantage."
- A.A.C. R14-2-1115(C) requires all telecommunications companies to file with the 24. Commission current tariffs, price levels, and contracts. A.A.C. R14-2-1115(C) (3) provides that telecommunication companies provide tariffs, price levels and contracts within five business days after execution and if the contract includes both competitive and non-competitive services the contract must be filed at least five business days prior to the effective date of the contract.
- A.A.C. R14-2-1115(I) states that the Commission may consider variations or 25. exemptions from the terms or requirements of any rules included in (Article 11) upon the verified application of an affected party.
- 26. TWCB has stated that waiver of the rule is necessary for the same reason that was articulated by Cox in Decision No. 73579. In that Decision, Staff summarized Cox's reasons for requesting a waiver of the rule as follows:

2

4

3

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Confusion exists regarding the requirement to file ICB agreements: a.

b. Numerous Basic Services Agreements, Commercial Service Agreements, ICBs and other contracts may have to be filed pursuant to the rule depending on the Commission's interpretation of "contract;"

The administrative time and cost of filing and securing confidential contracts. c. by Staff and Cox are burdensome;

To date, no carrier has raised a dispute regarding ICBs: d.

The rule has created little direct public benefit in regards to ICBs; and e.

f. The Commission has the authority to ask for any contract if issues are brought to the Commission's attention regardless of whether any contracts have been filed pursuant to the rule.

- 27. Staff recommends that the Commission limit its approval to a waiver of A.A.C. R14-2-1115(C)(3) and that approval be subject to Staff's condition that TWCB provide its ICB contracts at any time Staff request them.¹⁸
- 28. We find Staff's recommendation regarding TWCB's ICB contracts reasonable and consistent with previous Decisions. Therefore, we will adopt Staff's recommendation.

Complaint Information

- 29. Staff confirmed the Company's assertion that none of its officers, directors, partners, nor managers have been or are currently involved in any formal or informal complaint proceeding before any state or federal regulatory agency, commission, administrative or law enforcement agency.
- 30. Staff also verified that TWCB's officers, directors, partners or managers have not been involved in any civil or criminal investigations, or had judgments entered in any civil matter, or by any administrative or regulatory agency, or been convicted of any criminal acts within the last ten years.
- 31. Staff reported that no consumer complaints had been filed against TWCB in Arizona or in the twelve (12) public utility commissions that responded to Staff's inquiry.
 - 32. TWCB is in good standing with the Commission's Corporation Division.

Competitive Analysis

33. TWCB's application requests that the Company's proposed services be classified as competitive in Arizona. Staff believes TWCB's proposed services should be classified as competitive because TWCB will have to compete with IXCs, ILECs, and CLECs to gain a share of

18	Exh	iihit	S-1	at 6.

DECISION NO.

5

6

7 8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

2425

_ _

26

2728

the market in which it intends to operate. Further, Staff believes that TWCB will not be able to exert any market power in its proposed service area.

- 34. Based on the above factors, Staff concludes that TWCB's proposed services should be classified as competitive in Arizona.
- 35. We find that Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted. We also find that TWCB's proposed services are competitive within Arizona.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. TWCB is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
 - 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over TWCB and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. A.R.S. §40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a CC&N to provide competitive telecommunication services.
- 5. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, as well as the Arizona Revised Statutes, it is in the public interest for TWCB to provide facilities-based long distance and private line telecommunications services as set forth in its application.
- 6. TWCB is a fit and proper entity to receive a CC&N authorizing it to provide intrastate telecommunications services in Arizona, subject to Staff's recommendations as set forth herein.
- 7. TWCB's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers.
- 8. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Competitive Rules, it is just and reasonable and in the public interest for TWCB to establish rates and charges that are not less than TWCB's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive services approved herein.
 - 9. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Time Warner Cable Business LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to provide facilities-based long distance and private

1	line telecommunications services in Arizona, is hereby approved, subject to Staff's recommendations				
2	as more fully described in Findings of Fact Nos. 7 and 8.				
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Time Warner Cable Business LLC fails to comply with				
4	the Staff recommendations described in Findings Fact No. 8, the Certificate of Convenience and				
5	Necessity granted herein shall be considered null and void after due process.				
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Time Warner Cable Business LLC is hereby granted a				
7	waiver of A.A.C. R14-2-1115(C), except that the Company shall provide its Individual Case Basis				
8	contracts at any time requested by the Commission's Utilities Division Staff.				
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Time Warner Cable Business LLC shall comply with				
10	A.A.C. R14-2-804 regarding transactions between public utilities and affiliates.				
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.				
12	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.				
13	1214		$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}}$		
14	CHAIRMAN	gan	COMMISSIONER		
-/	II (HAIRMAN) / /	/			
15	CHARIGNAN	EXCUSED/			
15 16	Done Four	COMM. BURNS	*Commissioner Bitter Smith recused herself from this matter		
	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER		*Commissioner Bitter Smith		
16	Done Four	COMMISSIONER	*Commissioner Bitter Smith recused herself from this matter COMMISSIONER		
16 17	Done Four	COMMISSIONER IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Director of the Arizona C	*Commissioner Bitter Smith recused herself from this matter COMMISSIONER I, JODI JERICH, Executive orporation Commission, have		
16 17 18	Done Four	COMMISSIONER IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Director of the Arizona C hereunto set my hand and of Commission to be affixed at the	*Commissioner Bitter Smith recused herself from this matter COMMISSIONER I, JODI JERICH, Executive or commission, have aused the official seal of the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix		
16 17 18 19	Done Four	COMMISSIONER IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Director of the Arizona Content of	*Commissioner Bitter Smith recused herself from this matter COMMISSIONER I, JODI JERICH, Executive or Commission, have aused the official seal of the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix		
16 17 18 19 20	Done Four	COMMISSIONER IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Director of the Arizona C hereunto set my hand and of Commission to be affixed at the	*Commissioner Bitter Smith recused herself from this matter COMMISSIONER I, JODI JERICH, Executive or commission, have aused the official seal of the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix		
16 17 18 19 20 21	Done Four	COMMISSIONER IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Director of the Arizona Commission to be affixed at the this day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to the commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to the commission to the commission to the commissi	*Commissioner Bitter Smith recused herself from this matter COMMISSIONER I, JODI JERICH, Executive or commission, have aused the official seal of the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Director of the Arizona Control of	*Commissioner Bitter Smith recused herself from this matter COMMISSIONER I, JODI JERICH, Executive or commission, have aused the official seal of the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Director of the Arizona Commission to be affixed at the this day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to the commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to the commission to the commission to the commissi	*Commissioner Bitter Smith recused herself from this matter COMMISSIONER I, JODI JERICH, Executive or commission, have aused the official seal of the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER DISSENT	COMMISSIONER IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Director of the Arizona Commission to be affixed at the this day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to the commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to the commission to the commission to the commissi	*Commissioner Bitter Smith recused herself from this matter COMMISSIONER I, JODI JERICH, Executive or commission, have aused the official seal of the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	COMMISSIONER DISSENT	COMMISSIONER IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Director of the Arizona Commission to be affixed at the this day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to the commission to be affixed at the third day of Commission to the commission to the commission to the commissi	*Commissioner Bitter Smith recused herself from this matter COMMISSIONER I, JODI JERICH, Executive or commission, have aused the official seal of the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix		

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	TIME WARNER CABLE BUSINESS LLC				
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-20879A-13-0083				
3	Joan Burke LAW OFFICES OF JOAN S. BURKE, P.C 1650 N. First Avenue	•				
4	Phoenix, AZ 85003 Attorneys for Time Warner Cable Business, LLC					
5	Janice Alward Chief Counsel					
6 7	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	N				
8	Steven M. Olea, Director					
9	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	N				
10	Phoenix, AZ 85007					
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
- 1						